

TEXAS WORKFORCE COMMISSION

LOCAL WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT BOARD REVIEW & COMMENTS FORM SELF-SUFFICIENCY FUND PROGRAM

An applicant submitting a Self-Sufficiency Fund proposal to the Texas Workforce Commission (TWC) is required to obtain the review and comments of the Local Workforce Development Board (Board) in the workforce area where the trainees will be employed upon the completion of training. This information must then be submitted with the applicant's proposal package prior to TWC's evaluation of the grant request.

Name of Applicant:

Grant Amount Requested: \$

Instructions to Board Reviewer: The above applicant is submitting a Self-Sufficiency Fund (SSF) proposal to TWC to be considered for funding. Please provide the Board's assessment of the proposed project by answering the questions below. Your input is appreciated and is strongly considered in determining funding awards.

- 1. Name of the Board reviewing the proposal and providing comments:**
- 2. Did the Board review the applicant's complete SSF proposal package, including the attachments?**

Board Response:

- 3. How did the Board participate in the development of the proposal?**

Board Response:

- 4. Has the Board committed resources to the proposed project (e.g., local activity funds, supportive services, etc.)? If so, please explain.**

Board Response:

- 5. What role will the Board have in the proposed training project (e.g., participant outreach and recruitment, participant eligibility determination, support services to eligible participants, etc.)?**

Board Response:

SELF-SUFFICIENCY FUND PROGRAM – LWDB REVIEW & COMMENTS FORM

6. How does the proposed project support the Board’s strategic goals and objectives, as well as the locally targeted industries and demand occupations?

Board Response:

7. How does the proposed project complement the training activities implemented by the Board in the local area?

Board Response:

8. What are the strengths of the proposed project?

Board Response:

9. Did the Board identify any areas of concern or weaknesses of the proposed project that were not addressed by the applicant?

Board Response:

10. What other unique factors should be considered in TWC’s evaluation of this proposal?

Board Response:

11. Would funding this project promote the best use of SSF program funds in your area? Please explain.

Board Response:

This form was completed by:

Signature of Board’s Authorized Reviewer

Title of Reviewer

Typed Name of Reviewer

Date